	Case 1:23-cv-01606-JLT-SAB Documer	nt 17 Filed 03/05/24 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	IGNACIO SALCIDO,	No. 1:23-cv-01606-NODJ-SAB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TERMINATING ACTION PURSUANT TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF	
13	v.	VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL	
14	MOON, et al.,	(ECF No. 16)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to Bivens		
18	<u>v. Six Unknown Agents</u> , 403 U.S. 388 (1971).		
19	The instant action was filed on November 15, 2023. (ECF No. 1.) On December 28,		
20 21	2023, Plaintiff filed a motion for a preliminary injunction. (ECF No. 8.) On January 2, 2024,		
22	Findings and Recommendations were issued to deny Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary		
23	injunction. (ECF No. 9.) On January 25, 2024, Plaintiff filed objections to the Findings and		
24	Recommendations and a separate notice of appeal. (ECF Nos. 10, 11.)		
25	On September 25, 2023, Plaintiff filed a civil rights action in this Court which was		
26	assigned case number 1:23-cv-01395-JLT-SAB (PC), Salido v. Bureau of Prisons. In that case,		
27	on November 8, 2023, the Court screened the complaint, found no cognizable claim, and granted		
28	Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 10.) After Plaintiff failed to respond		
		1	

Case 1:23-cv-01606-JLT-SAB Document 17 Filed 03/05/24 Page 2 of 2

to the Court's order, an order to show cause why the action should not be dismissed was issued on		
December 19, 2023. (ECF No. 11.) Plaintiff failed to respond to the order to show cause, and		
Findings and Recommendations recommending dismissal of the action were issued on January		
26, 2024. (ECF No. 12.) On February 7, 2024, Plaintiff filed objections to the Findings and		
Recommendations. (ECF No. 13.) In his objections, Plaintiff stated that he submitted an		
amended complaint on or about November 15, 2023, which was assigned and opened as a new		
case in case number 1:23-cv-01395-JLT-SAB (PC), Salido v. Bureau of Prisons. (Id. at 1.)		
Indeed, a review of the complaint filed in case number 1:23-cv-01395-JLT-SAB (PC), <u>Salido v.</u>		
Bureau of Prisons, reflects that the complaint was submitted as an amended complaint and should		
have been filed in this case and not opened as a new civil rights action. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff's		
objections filed in case number 1:23-cv-01395-JLT-SAB (PC), <u>Salido v. Bureau of Prisons</u> made		
clear that this action was improvidently opened as Plaintiff's amended complaint filed on		
November 15, 2023 was intended to be filed as an amended complaint in 1:23-cv-01395-JLT-		
SAB (PC). Therefore, the Court directed the Clerk of the Court to file the November 15, 2023		
amended complaint in plaintiff's earlier action, 1:23-cv-01395-JLT-SAB (PC), and noted the		
instant action would be terminated as improvidently opened after the appeal is resolved.		
On February 19, 2024, Plaintiff filed a motion to dismiss the instant action. (ECF No.		
16.) On March 1, 2024, Plaintiff's appeal was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (ECF No. 15.)		
Accordingly, because this action was improvidently opened and Plaintiff seeks to		
voluntary dismiss the action, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the instant action is terminated by		
operation of law pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i).		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated: March 5 2024		

24 Dated: March 5, 202

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE